| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
|--|--------|--------------------|
| SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | | |
| | -X | |
| | : | |
| DRYWALL TAPERS AND POINTERS OF GREATER | : | |
| NEW YORK LOCAL UNION 1974, AFFILIATED | : | |
| WITH INTERNATIONAL UNION OF ALLIED | : | 22-CV-2486 (JMF) |
| PAINTERS AND ALLIED TRADES et al., | : | (ci.ii) |
| , | : | MEMORANDUM OPINION |
| Petitioners, | : | AND ORDER |
| 2 - 11112 11219 | : | <u> </u> |
| and | • | |
| und | • | |
| | • | |
| SEAMLESS WALL FINISHING INC., | • | |
| SERVICESS WILL I INSTITUTE INC., | • | |
| Respondent. | | |
| Respondent. | | |
| | · V | |
| | -1 | |

JESSE M. FURMAN, United States District Judge:

On March 28, 2022, Petitioners filed a Petition to Confirm Arbitration. ECF No. 1. On March 29, 2022, the Court set a briefing schedule for Petitioners' submission of any additional materials in support of the Petition, Respondent's opposition, and Petitioners' reply. ECF No. 7. Petitioners served Respondent with the Petition, supporting materials, and the briefing schedule. ECF Nos. 11, 13. Pursuant to the briefing schedule, Respondent's opposition was due no later than May 3, 2022. ECF No. 10. To date, Respondent has neither responded to the petition nor otherwise sought relief from the Award.

The Court must treat the Petition, even though unopposed, "as akin to a motion for summary judgment based on the movant's submissions." *Trs. for Mason Tenders Dist. Council Welfare Fund, Pension Fund, Annuity Fund & Training Program Fund v. Capstone Constr.*Corp., 11-CV-1715 (JMF), 2013 WL 1703578, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 19, 2013) (discussing in depth the legal standards for resolving unopposed petitions to confirm arbitration awards). After

Case 1:22-cv-02486-JMF Document 14 Filed 05/10/22 Page 2 of 2

reviewing the petition and the supporting materials, the Court finds that there is no genuine issue

of material fact precluding summary judgment as to all portions of the Award, as the Arbitrator's

decision provides more than "a barely colorable justification for the outcome reached." *Id.* at *3

(internal quotation marks omitted). Nor is there any justification under Section 10(a) of the

Federal Arbitration Act for vacating the Award.

The Court also awards Petitioners' requested attorneys' fees and costs. See Int'l Chem.

Workers Union (AFL-CIO), Local No. 227 v. BASF Wyandotte Corp., 774 F.2d 43, 47 (2d Cir.

1985) ("[W]hen a challenger refuses to abide by an arbitrator's decision without justification,

attorney's fees and costs may properly be awarded." (internal quotation marks omitted)). Here,

Petitioners have met their burden of proving the reasonableness and necessity of hours spent,

rates charged, and litigation costs incurred. See ECF No. 12.

Accordingly, the Court grants Petitioners' unopposed petition to confirm the entire

Award. Petitioners are directed to file their Proposed Judgment electronically, using the ECF

Filing Event "Proposed Judgment," by no later than May 23, 2022.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 10, 2022

New York, New York

United States District Judge

2